

Pseudofinite fields with an additive and a multiplicative character

Stefan Ludwig

University of Freiburg

Chania 02.06.2026

Introduction - Pseudofinite fields

- For this talk we write $q = p^e$ where p prime, $e \in \mathbb{N}$.

Introduction - Pseudofinite fields

- For this talk we write $q = p^e$ where p prime, $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Work in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} = \{\cdot, +, -, 1, 0\}$.

Introduction - Pseudofinite fields

- For this talk we write $q = p^e$ where p prime, $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Work in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} = \{\cdot, +, -, 1, 0\}$.
- Consider $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q)_q) = \{\phi \text{ } \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}\text{-sentence} \mid \text{For all } q, \mathbb{F}_q \models \phi\}$

Introduction - Pseudofinite fields

- For this talk we write $q = p^e$ where p prime, $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Work in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} = \{\cdot, +, -, 1, 0\}$.
- Consider $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q)_q) = \{\phi \text{ } \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}\text{-sentence} \mid \text{For all } q, \mathbb{F}_q \models \phi\}$

Theorem (Ax, 68)

Let F be an infinite field. Then, $F \models \text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q)_q)$ if and only if

- *F is perfect and $\text{Gal}(F) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$*
- *F is PAC: $V(F) \neq \emptyset$ for every abs. irr. variety V defined over F .*

Introduction - Pseudofinite fields

- For this talk we write $q = p^e$ where p prime, $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Work in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} = \{\cdot, +, -, 1, 0\}$.
- Consider $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q)_q) = \{\phi \text{ } \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}\text{-sentence} \mid \text{For all } q, \mathbb{F}_q \models \phi\}$

Theorem (Ax, 68)

Let F be an infinite field. Then, $F \models \text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q)_q)$ if and only if

- F is perfect and $\text{Gal}(F) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$
- F is PAC: $V(F) \neq \emptyset$ for every abs. irr. variety V defined over F .

Lang-Weil bounds: $\forall r \in \mathbb{N} \exists K(r) \in \mathbb{N}$, s. th. for V an absolutely irreducible variety of dimension d defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\text{complexity}(V) \leq r$:

$$\left| |V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^d \right| \leq Kq^{d-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Introduction - Pseudofinite fields

- For this talk we write $q = p^e$ where p prime, $e \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Work in $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} = \{\cdot, +, -, 1, 0\}$.
- Consider $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q)_q) = \{\phi \text{ } \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}\text{-sentence} \mid \text{For all } q, \mathbb{F}_q \models \phi\}$

Theorem (Ax, 68)

Let F be an infinite field. Then, $F \models \text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q)_q)$ if and only if

- F is perfect and $\text{Gal}(F) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$
- F is PAC: $V(F) \neq \emptyset$ for every abs. irr. variety V defined over F .

Lang-Weil bounds: $\forall r \in \mathbb{N} \exists K(r) \in \mathbb{N}$, s. th. for V an absolutely irreducible variety of dimension d defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ with $\text{complexity}(V) \leq r$:

$$\left| |V(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^d \right| \leq Kq^{d-\frac{1}{2}}$$

$\implies \forall r \in \mathbb{N} \exists q_0(r)$ s.th. for V as above and $q \geq q_0$, $V(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq \emptyset$.

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Remark

Any Ψ_q is of the form $\Psi_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(x))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Remark

Any Ψ_q is of the form $\Psi_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(x))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

E. Kowalski (05) obtains bounds on *character sums* over definable sets in finite fields.

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Remark

Any Ψ_q is of the form $\Psi_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(x))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

E. Kowalski (05) obtains bounds on *character sums* over definable sets in finite fields.

Goal: Determine $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q)$.

- For any χ_q , $\ker(\chi_q) = \{x^{\text{ord}(\chi_q)} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Remark

Any Ψ_q is of the form $\Psi_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(x))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

E. Kowalski (05) obtains bounds on *character sums* over definable sets in finite fields.

Goal: Determine $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q)$.

Remark

- For any Ψ_q , $\ker(\Psi_q) = \{a(x^p - x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Remark

Any Ψ_q is of the form $\Psi_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(x))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

E. Kowalski (05) obtains bounds on *character sums* over definable sets in finite fields.

Goal: Determine $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q)$.

Remark

- For any Ψ_q , $\ker(\Psi_q) = \{a(x^p - x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- For any χ_q , $\ker(\chi_q) = \{x^{\text{ord}(\chi_q)} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Remark

Any Ψ_q is of the form $\Psi_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(x))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

E. Kowalski (05) obtains bounds on *character sums* over definable sets in finite fields.

Goal: Determine $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q)$.

Remark

- For any Ψ_q , $\ker(\Psi_q) = \{a(x^p - x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- For any χ_q , $\ker(\chi_q) = \{x^{\text{ord}(\chi_q)} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$

→ **Interesting case:**

$$\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) \cup \{\text{ord}(\Psi) = \infty = \text{ord}(\chi)\}$$

Introduction

Question: Ax's theorem for enriched finite fields?

- $\Psi_q : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow S^1$ additive character
- $\chi_q : \mathbb{F}_q^* \rightarrow S^1$ multiplicative character

Remark

Any Ψ_q is of the form $\Psi_q(x) = \exp(2\pi i a \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p}(x))$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

E. Kowalski (05) obtains bounds on *character sums* over definable sets in finite fields.

Goal: Determine $\text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q)$.

Remark

- For any Ψ_q , $\ker(\Psi_q) = \{a(x^p - x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$.
- For any χ_q , $\ker(\chi_q) = \{x^{\text{ord}(\chi_q)} \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}$

→ **Interesting case:**

$\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{Th}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) \cup \{\text{ord}(\Psi) = \infty = \text{ord}(\chi)\}$
(This implies characteristic 0.)

Generalisations of Ax's theorem

Question: In which language?

Generalisations of Ax's theorem

Question: In which language?

Fact (Hrushovski)

$\text{Th}(\mathbb{F}_p, I_p)$ decides $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ for an interval I_p with $|I_p|, |I_p^c|$ unbounded

Generalisations of Ax's theorem

Question: In which language?

Fact (Hrushovski)

$\text{Th}(\mathbb{F}_p, I_p)$ decides $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ for an interval I_p with $|I_p|, |I_p^c|$ unbounded

Generalisations of Ax's theorem

Question: In which language?

Fact (Hrushovski)

$\text{Th}(\mathbb{F}_p, I_p)$ decides $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ for an interval I_p with $|I_p|, |I_p^c|$ unbounded

Fact (Tran)

The theory of $(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p, \triangleleft)$ where $\triangleleft$ is a circular order stemming from a multiplicative character is TP_2 .

Generalisations of Ax's theorem

Question: In which language?

Fact (Hrushovski)

$\text{Th}(\mathbb{F}_p, I_p)$ decides $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ for an interval I_p with $|I_p|, |I_p^c|$ unbounded

Fact (Tran)

The theory of $(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p, \triangleleft)$ where $\triangleleft$ is a circular order stemming from a multiplicative character is TP_2 .

- **But** Hrushovski ('21) managed to generalise Ax's work to $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q)$ using a mild version of continuous logic.

Generalisations of Ax's theorem

Question: In which language?

Fact (Hrushovski)

$\text{Th}(\mathbb{F}_p, I_p)$ decides $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot)$ for an interval I_p with $|I_p|, |I_p^c|$ unbounded

Fact (Tran)

The theory of $(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p, \triangleleft)$ where $\triangleleft$ is a circular order stemming from a multiplicative character is TP_2 .

- **But** Hrushovski ('21) managed to generalise Ax's work to $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q)$ using a mild version of continuous logic.

Definition

$\mathcal{L}_{+, \times} := \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}} \cup \{\Psi\} \cup \{\chi\}$ where Ψ, χ are continuous logic predicates with allowed range $S^1 \cup \{0\} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

Continuous logic

Formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ are built inductively using

Continuous logic

Formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ are built inductively using

- **Terms** $t(\vec{x})$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -terms.

Continuous logic

Formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ are built inductively using

- **Terms** $t(\bar{x})$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -terms.
- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\Psi(t(\bar{x})), \chi(t(\bar{x}))$ or $t_1(\bar{x}) = t_2(\bar{x})$ where the latter is $\{0, 1\}$ -valued.

Continuous logic

Formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ are built inductively using

- **Terms** $t(\bar{x})$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -terms.
- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\Psi(t(\bar{x})), \chi(t(\bar{x}))$ or $t_1(\bar{x}) = t_2(\bar{x})$ where the latter is $\{0, 1\}$ -valued.
- **Quantifier-free formulas** are continuous combinations of atomic formulas.

Continuous logic

Formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ are built inductively using

- **Terms** $t(\bar{x})$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -terms.
- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\Psi(t(\bar{x})), \chi(t(\bar{x}))$ or $t_1(\bar{x}) = t_2(\bar{x})$ where the latter is $\{0, 1\}$ -valued.
- **Quantifier-free formulas** are continuous combinations of atomic formulas.
- **Quantifiers** are given by $\sup, \inf$ of the real (imaginary) part.

Continuous logic

Formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ are built inductively using

- **Terms** $t(\bar{x})$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -terms.
- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\Psi(t(\bar{x})), \chi(t(\bar{x}))$ or $t_1(\bar{x}) = t_2(\bar{x})$ where the latter is $\{0, 1\}$ -valued.
- **Quantifier-free formulas** are continuous combinations of atomic formulas.
- **Quantifiers** are given by $\sup, \inf$ of the real (imaginary) part.

An $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -theory assigns to $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -sentences (no free variables) values in $\mathbb{C}$.

Continuous logic

Formulas in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ are built inductively using

- **Terms** $t(\bar{x})$ are given by $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -terms.
- **Atomic formulas** are of the form $\Psi(t(\bar{x})), \chi(t(\bar{x}))$ or $t_1(\bar{x}) = t_2(\bar{x})$ where the latter is $\{0, 1\}$ -valued.
- **Quantifier-free formulas** are continuous combinations of atomic formulas.
- **Quantifiers** are given by $\sup, \inf$ of the real (imaginary) part.

An $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -theory assigns to $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -sentences (no free variables) values in $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition

We define $(F, \Psi, \chi) \models PF^{+, \times}$, if:

- (1) F is a field of characteristic 0 with Galois group $\text{Gal}(F) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.
- (2) $\chi : (F^\times, \cdot) \rightarrow S^1$ is a group homomorphism and $\chi(0) = 0$.
- (3) $\Psi : (F, +) \rightarrow S^1$ is a group homomorphism.
- (4) Geometric axiom (next slide)

E.g. (3) given by $\sup_{x, y} |\Psi(x + y) - \Psi(x)\Psi(y)| = 0$

Geometric axiom of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Definition

$\text{PF}^{+, \times}(4)$ states: Given any absolutely irreducible curve $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ defined over F , $\alpha : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^k$ an integral linear map and $\beta : \mathbb{G}_m^n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^l$ an integral multiplicative map such that (with $C' = \mathbb{G}_m^n \cap C$)

- $C_1 := \alpha(C)$ is not contained in any rational hyperplane over F ,
- $C_2 := \beta(C')$ is not contained in any multiplicative coset over F .

Then,

$$(\Psi^{(k)} \times \chi^{(l)})(\Delta(F)) \subseteq_{\text{dense}} \mathbb{T}^{k+l}$$

where $\Delta(F) := \{(\alpha(\bar{z}), \beta(\bar{z})) \mid \bar{z} \in C'(F)\}$.

Geometric axiom of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Definition

$\text{PF}^{+, \times}(4)$ states: Given any absolutely irreducible curve $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ defined over F , $\alpha : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^k$ an integral linear map and $\beta : \mathbb{G}_m^n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^l$ an integral multiplicative map such that (with $C' = \mathbb{G}_m^n \cap C$)

- $C_1 := \alpha(C)$ is not contained in any rational hyperplane over F ,
- $C_2 := \beta(C')$ is not contained in any multiplicative coset over F .

Then,

$$(\Psi^{(k)} \times \chi^{(l)})(\Delta(F)) \subseteq_{\text{dense}} \mathbb{T}^{k+l}$$

where $\Delta(F) := \{(\alpha(\bar{z}), \beta(\bar{z})) \mid \bar{z} \in C'(F)\}$.

Lemma

The above axiom is expressible in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ using expressions of the following form:

Geometric axiom of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Definition

$\text{PF}^{+, \times}(4)$ states: Given any absolutely irreducible curve $C \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ defined over F , $\alpha : \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^k$ an integral linear map and $\beta : \mathbb{G}_m^n \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m^l$ an integral multiplicative map such that (with $C' = \mathbb{G}_m^n \cap C$)

- $C_1 := \alpha(C)$ is not contained in any rational hyperplane over F ,
- $C_2 := \beta(C')$ is not contained in any multiplicative coset over F .

Then,

$$(\Psi^{(k)} \times \chi^{(l)})(\Delta(F)) \subseteq_{\text{dense}} \mathbb{T}^{k+l}$$

where $\Delta(F) := \{(\alpha(\bar{z}), \beta(\bar{z})) \mid \bar{z} \in C'(F)\}$.

Lemma

The above axiom is expressible in $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ using expressions of the following form:

For certain (C, h) with $h \in \mathbb{Q}[\bar{Y}, \bar{Y}^{-1}, \bar{Z}, \bar{Z}^{-1}]$ real-valued on $\mathbb{T}^{2n}$:

$$\sup\{h(\Psi^{(n)}(\bar{x}), \chi^{(n)}(\bar{x})) \mid \bar{x} \in C'(F)\} \geq 0.$$

Quantifier reduction for $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Proposition

Let $(F_1, \Psi_1, \chi_1), (F_2, \Psi_2, \chi_2)$ be two models of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ and E a common relatively algebraically closed substructure, then

$$(F_1, \Psi_1, \chi_1) \equiv_E (F_2, \Psi_2, \chi_2).$$

Quantifier reduction for $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Proposition

Let $(F_1, \Psi_1, \chi_1), (F_2, \Psi_2, \chi_2)$ be two models of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ and E a common relatively algebraically closed substructure, then

$$(F_1, \Psi_1, \chi_1) \equiv_E (F_2, \Psi_2, \chi_2).$$

In particular, the completions of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ are determined by the isomorphism types of $F \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ together with the corresponding restrictions of Ψ and χ for $(F, \Psi, \chi) \models \text{PF}^{+, \times}$.

Quantifier reduction for $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Proposition

Let $(F_1, \Psi_1, \chi_1), (F_2, \Psi_2, \chi_2)$ be two models of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ and E a common relatively algebraically closed substructure, then

$$(F_1, \Psi_1, \chi_1) \equiv_E (F_2, \Psi_2, \chi_2).$$

In particular, the completions of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ are determined by the isomorphism types of $F \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ together with the corresponding restrictions of Ψ and χ for $(F, \Psi, \chi) \models \text{PF}^{+, \times}$.

Corollary

$\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ is model-complete (after naming some constants).

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\subseteq$: **To show:** For any ultraproduct $(F, \Psi, \chi) = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} (\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$ with $\text{ord}(\Psi) = \infty = \text{ord}(\chi)$ we have $(F, \Psi, \chi) \models \text{PF}^{+, \times}$.

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\subseteq$: **To show:** For any ultraproduct $(F, \Psi, \chi) = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} (\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$ with $\text{ord}(\Psi) = \infty = \text{ord}(\chi)$ we have $(F, \Psi, \chi) \models \text{PF}^{+, \times}$.

- The difficult case is Axiom (4): To show for $(C, \alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ as before,

$$(\psi^{(k)} \times \chi^{(l)})(\Delta(F)) \subseteq_{\text{dense}} \mathbb{T}^{k+l}$$

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\subseteq$: **To show:** For any ultraproduct $(F, \Psi, \chi) = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} (\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$ with $\text{ord}(\Psi) = \infty = \text{ord}(\chi)$ we have $(F, \Psi, \chi) \models \text{PF}^{+, \times}$.

- The difficult case is Axiom (4): To show for $(C, \alpha, \beta, \Delta)$ as before,

$$(\Psi^{(k)} \times \chi^{(l)})(\Delta(F)) \subseteq_{\text{dense}} \mathbb{T}^{k+l}$$

Main ingredient:

Weil bounds: $\forall r \in \mathbb{N} \exists K(r) > 0$, s.th for C abs. irr. curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_q(C)$, such that there are no $r, s \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(C)$, $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with both $g = a(s^q - s)$ and $h = r^d$, then $\text{complexity}(C, g, h) \leq r$ implies

$$\left| \sum_{\bar{x} \in C(\mathbb{F}_q)} \Psi_q(g(\bar{x})) \chi_q(h(\bar{x})) \right| \leq K \cdot q^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\supseteq$: **To show:** For any completion T of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ there is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q) \models T$.

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\supseteq$: **To show:** For any completion T of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ there is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q) \models T$.

- Completions of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ are determined by $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap F, \Psi, \chi)$ up to isomorphism

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\supseteq$: **To show:** For any completion T of $\mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$ there is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q) \models T$.

- Completions of $\mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$ are determined by $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap F, \Psi, \chi)$ up to isomorphism
- By Ax (Čebotarev density theorem) we find

$$\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_q \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cong_{\mathrm{ring}} F \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$$

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\supseteq$: **To show:** For any completion T of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ there is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}}(\mathbb{F}_q, \psi_q, \chi_q) \models T$.

- Completions of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ are determined by $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap F, \psi, \chi)$ up to isomorphism
- By Ax (Čebotarev density theorem) we find

$$\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_q \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cong_{\text{ring}} F \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$$

- Compactness $\rightarrow$ work in $L/\mathbb{Q}$ finite Galois.

Ax's Theorem for $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$

Theorem (L., 25)

We have $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$

$\supseteq$: **To show:** For any completion T of $\mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$ there is $\prod_{\mathcal{U}} (\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q) \models T$.

- Completions of $\mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$ are determined by $(\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cap F, \Psi, \chi)$ up to isomorphism
- By Ax (Čebotarev density theorem) we find

$$\prod_{\mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_q \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}} \cong_{\mathrm{ring}} F \cap \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$$

- Compactness $\rightarrow$ work in $L/\mathbb{Q}$ finite Galois.
- Choose the Ψ_q, χ_q using quantitative Weyl equidistribution (Erdős-Turán-Koksma inequality).

Model-theoretic tameness of $\mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$

Theorem (L.)

We have $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathrm{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$

Proposition

The theory $\mathrm{PF}^{+, \times}$ is simple.

Model-theoretic tameness of $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Theorem (L.)

We have $\text{Th}_{\text{lim}}((\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)_q) = \text{PF}^{+, \times}$

Proposition

The theory $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ is simple.

Proposition

$\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ is decidable in the sense of continuous logic, i.e., for every rational $\epsilon > 0$ and an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -sentence ϕ , we can uniformly compute $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $|\phi - c| \leq \epsilon$.

Definable counting in PF

Theorem (Chatzidakis-van den Dries-Macintyre)

For all $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, there are $C > 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\psi_1(\bar{y}), \dots, \psi_k(\bar{y})$ and a finite set $D_\phi \subseteq \{0, \dots, |\bar{x}|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \cup \{0, 0\}$ such that for all $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{|\bar{y}|}$:

$$||\phi(\mathbb{F}_q, \bar{a})| - \mu_i q^{d_i}| \leq C q^{d_i - \frac{1}{2}} \iff \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q) \quad (\text{and } \forall \bar{a} \exists i : \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q)).$$

Definable counting in PF

Theorem (Chatzidakis-van den Dries-Macintyre)

For all $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, there are $C > 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\psi_1(\bar{y}), \dots, \psi_k(\bar{y})$ and a finite set $D_\phi \subseteq \{0, \dots, |\bar{x}|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \cup \{0, 0\}$ such that for all $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{|\bar{y}|}$:

$$||\phi(\mathbb{F}_q, \bar{a})| - \mu_i q^{d_i}| \leq C q^{d_i - \frac{1}{2}} \iff \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q) \quad (\text{and } \forall \bar{a} \exists i : \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q)).$$

- Fix $\Theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ an $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formula. Let $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formula.

Definable counting in PF

Theorem (Chatzidakis-van den Dries-Macintyre)

For all $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, there are $C > 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\psi_1(\bar{y}), \dots, \psi_k(\bar{y})$ and a finite set $D_\phi \subseteq \{0, \dots, |\bar{x}|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \cup \{0, 0\}$ such that for all $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{|\bar{y}|}$:

$$||\phi(\mathbb{F}_q, \bar{a})| - \mu_i q^{d_i}| \leq C q^{d_i - \frac{1}{2}} \iff \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q) \quad (\text{and } \forall \bar{a} \exists i : \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q)).$$

- Fix $\Theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ an $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formula. Let $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formula.
- Consider the map $f_q^\phi : \mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_q^\phi(\bar{a}) := \frac{|(\phi \wedge \Theta)(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})|}{|\Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})|}$$

Definable counting in PF

Theorem (Chatzidakis-van den Dries-Macintyre)

For all $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, there are $C > 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formulas $\psi_1(\bar{y}), \dots, \psi_k(\bar{y})$ and a finite set $D_\phi \subseteq \{0, \dots, |\bar{x}|\} \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0} \cup \{0, 0\}$ such that for all $\mathbb{F}_q$, $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^{|\bar{y}|}$:

$$||\phi(\mathbb{F}_q, \bar{a})| - \mu_i q^{d_i}| \leq Cq^{d_i - \frac{1}{2}} \iff \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q) \quad (\text{and } \forall \bar{a} \exists i : \bar{a} \in \psi_i(\mathbb{F}_q)).$$

- Fix $\Theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ an $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formula. Let $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -formula.
- Consider the map $f_q^\phi : \mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_q^\phi(\bar{a}) := \frac{|(\phi \wedge \Theta)(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})|}{|\Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})|}$$

Corollary

For all $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -definable function g such that for all finite fields $\mathbb{F}_q$ and all $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q$:

$$|g(\bar{a}) - f_q^\phi(\bar{a})| < \epsilon$$

Definable integration in $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

- Fix $\Theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula. Let $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -formula.
- Consider the map $f_q^\phi : \mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_q^\phi(\bar{a}) := \frac{|\sum_{\bar{x} \in \Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})} \phi(\bar{x}, \bar{a})|}{|\Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})|}$$

Definable integration in $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

- Fix $\Theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula. Let $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -formula.
- Consider the map $f_q^\phi : \mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_q^\phi(\bar{a}) := \frac{|\sum_{\bar{x} \in \Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})} \phi(\bar{x}, \bar{a})|}{|\Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})|}$$

Theorem (L., '25)

For all $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -formula g , such that for all $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$ and $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q$:

$$|g(\bar{a}) - f_q^\phi(\bar{a})| < \epsilon$$

Definable integration in $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$

- Fix $\Theta(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula. Let $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ be an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -formula.
- Consider the map $f_q^\phi : \mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{y}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$f_q^\phi(\bar{a}) := \frac{|\sum_{\bar{x} \in \Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})} \phi(\bar{x}, \bar{a})|}{|\Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})|}$$

Theorem (L., '25)

For all $\phi(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, $\epsilon > 0$ there is an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -formula g , such that for all $(\mathbb{F}_q, \Psi_q, \chi_q)$ and $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q$:

$$|g(\bar{a}) - f_q^\phi(\bar{a})| < \epsilon$$

This entails **character sums** over definable sets (h_1, h_2 rational functions)

$$\sum_{\bar{x} \in \Theta(\mathbb{F}_q^{\bar{x}}, \bar{a})} \Psi(h_1(\bar{x})) \chi(h_2(\bar{x}))$$

Towards applications: Tao's algebraic regularity lemma

- In the following let V, W be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(A)$ -definable sets in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $f : V \times W \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(A)$ -definable set.

Towards applications: Tao's algebraic regularity lemma

- In the following let V, W be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(A)$ -definable sets in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $f : V \times W \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ an $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(A)$ -definable set.

Theorem (Tao, 12)

For any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C = C(K) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $\text{complexity}(V, W, f) \leq K$, then there are $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_a$ and $W = W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_b$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $1 \leq j \leq b$:

- (large sets)** $|V_i| \geq \frac{|V|}{C}$ and $|W_j| \geq \frac{|W|}{C}$.
- (definability)** All V_i and W_j are $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\text{acl}(A))$ -definable of complexity bounded by C .
- ($q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ -regularity)** For all subsets $A \subseteq V_i$, $B \subseteq W_j$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{A \times B} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - r_{ij}|A||B| \right| \leq Cq^{-\frac{1}{4}}|V_i||W_j|$$

where $r_{ij} = |V_i|^{-1}|W_j|^{-1} \sum_{V_i \times W_j} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.

Algebraic regularity for $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ -definable functions

- In the following let V, W be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(A)$ -definable sets in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $f : V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -definable set.

Algebraic regularity for $\text{PF}^{+, \times}$ -definable functions

- In the following let V, W be $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(A)$ -definable sets in $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $f : V \times W \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}$ -definable set.

Theorem (?, (very much) ongoing work)

For any $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\delta > 0$ there exists $C = C(\delta, K) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $\text{complexity}(V, W, f) \leq K$, then there are $V = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_a$ and $W = W_1 \cup \dots \cup W_b$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq a$ and $1 \leq j \leq b$:

- (large sets)** $|V_i| \geq \frac{|V|}{C}$ and $|W_j| \geq \frac{|W|}{C}$.
- (weak-definability)** All V_i and W_j are **complements of $\mathcal{L}_{+, \times}(\text{acl}(A))$ -zerosets** of complexity bounded by C .
- ($\delta + q^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ -regularity)** For all subsets $A \subseteq V_i, B \subseteq W_j$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{A \times B} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) - r_{ij} |A| |B| \right| \leq C(\delta + q^{-\frac{1}{4}}) |V_i| |W_j|$$

where $r_{ij} = |V_i|^{-1} |W_j|^{-1} \sum_{V_i \times W_j} f(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.

(We can replace $C\delta |V_i| |W_j|$ by $C\delta \cdot \min\{|A| |B|^{\frac{1}{2}} |W|^{\frac{1}{2}}, |A|^{\frac{1}{2}} |V|^{\frac{1}{2}}\} |B|$.)