ON WEAKLY O-MINIMAL NON-VALUATIONAL STRUCTURES

PANTELIS ELEFTHERIOU, ASSAF HASSON, AND GIL KEREN

ABSTRACT. For a weakly o-minimal expansion $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \ldots \rangle$ of an ordered group, we introduce the notion 'no external limits' and prove that \mathcal{M} is o-minimal if and only if it has no external limits and admits definable Skolem functions. We then show that all known examples of weakly o-minimal non-valuational expansions of ordered groups have no external limits and thus obtain a large collection of such structures that do not have definable Skolem functions, extending a result from Shaw [8].

1. Extended abstract

A structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, \ldots \rangle$ is called *o-minimal* if every definable subset of M is a finite union of points and intervals [3, 7]. \mathcal{M} is called *weakly o-minimal* if every definable subset of M is a finite union of convex sets [2, 6]. Examples of weakly o-minimal structures are:

- (a) $\mathcal{R} = \langle R, Fin(R) \rangle$, a non-archimedean real closed field R expanded by its natural valuation ring Fin(R).
- (b) $\mathcal{R} = \langle \mathbb{R}_{alg}, (0, \pi) \rangle$, the field of real algebraic numbers expanded by the convex set $(0, \pi)$.

These are the archetypical examples of two categories of weakly o-minimal structures that can be distinguished by their 'definable cuts'. A pair (C, D) of non-empty subsets of M is called a cut in \mathcal{M} if C < D and $C \cup D = M$. It is called a de-finable cut if C (and D) are definable. If $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \ldots \rangle$ expands an ordered group, then \mathcal{M} is called non-valuational if for every definable cut (C, D) in \mathcal{M} , the infimum inf $\{y - x : x \in C, y \in D\}$ exists in M (and must equal 0). Otherwise, it is called valuational. Example (a) above is valuational and (b) is non-valuational. We denote by \overline{M} the set of all definable cuts (C, D) in M such that C has no maximum element. Then \overline{M} has a natural order, where (C, D) < (C', D') if and only if C < C', which extends the order < of M, where $a \in M$ is identified with $((-\infty, a), [a, +\infty))$.

In [6], a weak cell decomposition theorem was proved for every weakly o-minimal structure \mathcal{M} . In [9], a strong cell decomposition theorem was shown in case \mathcal{M} is non-valuational, exhibiting its resemblance to o-minimal structures. In the current note, we introduce the notion of having no external limits (Definition 1.1 below) and use it to prove that a large collection of weakly o-minimal non-valuational structures do not admit definable Skolem functions.

Date: May 31, 2015.

The first author was supported by the EU FP7 Marie Curie Zukunftskolleg Incoming Fellowship Programme, University of Konstanz (grant no. 291784).

The second author was partially supported by an Israel Science Foundation grant number 1156/10.

Canonical examples of weakly o-minimal non-valuational structures are obtained by considering dense pairs of o-minimal structures, as introduced in [4]: let \mathcal{N} be an o-minimal structure and $\mathcal{M}_1 \prec \mathcal{N}$ a dense elementary substructure. The theory of dense pairs is the theory of the structure $\langle \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}_1 \rangle$ obtained by expanding \mathcal{N} with a unary predicate for the universe M of \mathcal{M}_1 . By a result of [1] the structure \mathcal{M} induced from $\langle \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}_1 \rangle$ on M is weakly o-minimal. Moreover, any definable set in \mathcal{M} is of the form $M^n \cap S$ where $S \subseteq N^n$ is \mathcal{N} -definable, [4, Theorem 2]. Thus, any definable cut in \mathcal{M} is of the form $((-\infty, a) \cap M, (a, +\infty))$ for some $a \in \mathcal{N}$. Since M is dense in N, we obtain that \mathcal{M} is non-valuational.

We now give the main definition and results of this note.

Definition 1.1. A weakly o-minimal structure \mathcal{M} has no external limits if for every definable $f:(a,b)\to M$ where $a,b\in M\cup\{\pm\infty\}$ and $\lim_{t\to a^+}f(t)$ or $\lim_{t\to a^+}f(t)$ exist in \overline{M} , then that limit exists in M. Otherwise, we say that \mathcal{M} has external limits

Theorem 1.2. Let $\langle \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}_1 \rangle$ be a dense pair and \mathcal{M} the induced structure on the universe M of \mathcal{M}_1 . Then every ordered reduct of \mathcal{M} has no external limits.

By [1], an expansion of an o-minimal structure by any number of convex sets is weakly o-minimal.

Theorem 1.3. Let $\mathcal{M}_1 = \langle M, <, +, ... \rangle$ be an o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{M}_1, \{C_i\}_{i \in I} \rangle$ be an expansion of \mathcal{M}_1 by a number of convex sets. Assume that \mathcal{M} is non-valuational. Then there is a dense pair $\langle \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}_1 \rangle$ of o-minimal structures such that \mathcal{M} is the induced structure on \mathcal{M} .

It follows that the structure $\mathcal M$ from the last theorem has no external limits.

Although it is easy to construct a weakly o-minimal non-valuational structure which has external limits, we conjecture the following:

Conjecture 1.4. Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \cdots \rangle$ be a weakly o-minimal non-valuational expansion of an ordered group. Then \mathcal{M} has no external limits.

Theorem 1.5. Let $\mathcal{M} = \langle M, <, +, \ldots \rangle$ be a weakly o-minimal expansion of an ordered group. Then \mathcal{M} is o-minimal if and only if it has no external limits and admits definable Skolem functions.

Corollary 1.6. The weakly o-minimal structures from Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 do not admit definable Skolem functions.

The reader may wonder if all weakly o-minimal non-valuational expansions of ordered groups can be obtained as (reducts of) the induced structure from a dense pair $\langle \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}_1 \rangle$ on the universe M of \mathcal{M}_1 . This is not the case, as it can be shown with the structure $\langle \mathbb{Q}, <, +, \{\{(x,y) : x < \alpha y\}\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\pi)} \rangle$.

References

- Y. Baisalov and B. Poizat, Paires de structures o-minimales, J. Symbolic Logic 63(2) (1998), 570-578.
- [2] G. Cherlin and M. A. Dickmann, Real closed rings II. model theory, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic 25 (3) (1983), 213–231.
- [3] L. van den Dries, Remarks on Tarski's problem concerning $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, exp)$, Logic colloquium '82, Stud. Logic Found. Math. 112, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1984), 97–121.
- [4] L. van den Dries, Dense pairs of o-minimal structures, Fund. Math. 157(1) (1998), 61–78.

- [5] L. van den Dries, Tame topology and o-minimal structures, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [6] D. Macpherson, D. Marker, and C. Steinhorn, Weakly o-minimal structures and real closed fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 352(12) (2000), 5435–5483.
- [7] A. Pillay and C. Steinhorn, Definable Sets in Ordered Structures I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 295 (2) (1986), 565–592.
- [8] C. Shaw, Weakly o-minimal structures and Skolem functions, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Maryland (2008).
- [9] R. Wencel, Weakly o-minimal non-valuational structures, Ann. Pure Appl. Log. 154 (2008), 139–162.

Zukunftskolleg and Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Konstanz, Box 216, 78457 Konstanz, Germany

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb|panteleimon.eleftheriou@uni-konstanz.de|$

Department of mathematics, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er Sheva, Israel

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \verb|hassonas@math.bgu.ac.il|$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BE'ER SHEVA, ISRAEL

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: cruvadom@gmail.com}$